## Multimedia Overview Universal Screening for All Students

Welcome to the overview on Universal Screening for All Students.

Beginning readers who are struggling need help right away but they often don't receive it until grade, after they have been officially diagnosed with a learning disability or have fallen far behind their peers.
Response to Intervention, or "RtI," is a multi-tier instructional framework that offers a strategy for early detection and prevention of reading difficulties. A key components of RtI are theof all students and ongoing monitoring of their progress in core reading skills.
When a school only assesses those students who are already demonstrating problems with reading, other students who are at risk for future reading difficulties can be overlooked. This is why  screening is such a critical first step in determining
the scope of reading support a school needs to offer. Universal screening provides an objective "reading" on students' skills. This does not mean that teacher observation and is unimportant.
By monitoring student progress over time, teachers will make an important contribution in gauging a student's progress in both core and intervention programs.
Screenings for reading difficulty should take place twice a year—at the beginning of the school year and again in the middle of the year. These midyear screenings are especially important forstudents, as the results are likely to give a more accurate picture of
students' skills than those obtained at the beginning of the year.
Slide 5: Create a building-level team
A universal screening program requirescoordination of staff and resources. A building-level RtI team should be comprised of diverse members with a range of expertise, such as teachers, special

educators, ELL specialists, school psychologists, reading coaches, and the principal. The team will befor a number of tasks, from managing basic logistics, such as determining who will administer the assessments and handling scheduling, to more substantive responsibilities, such as selecting screening measures and establishing benchmarks to identify at risk students.		
When considering which measures to adopt, the building-level team should give special attention to each measure's, reliability, and proven validity.		
Specific factors to consider include: - ensuring that the appropriate reading skills are being measured, - how accurately the measure risk, and - cost.		
Screening measures should focus on appropriate reading skills for each level.  - In kindergarten, screening should assess letter knowledge, phonemic awareness, and expressive and receptive vocabulary.  - In grade 1, focus should shift to phonemic awareness,		
It may be necessary to use more than one screening measure in order to assess all of these skills.		
The accuracy of any given screening measure in predicting future reading ability is referred to as itsvalidity.		
Predictive validity has two aspects: its sesitivity—the degree of accuracy with which it correctly identifies students at risk for reading difficulties; and its—its accuracy in identifying students at low risk for such difficulties.		

Use of at least two screening measures is highly recommended, as use of a single measure can often result in false positives, such as identifying students as needingassistance, but who are likely to do fine without it.			
Using two screening measures can both enhance the accuracy of the screening process and ensure that schools are not taxing their by providing intervention to an inflated percentage of the student population.			
Slide 10: Costs			
When selecting which screening mechanisms to implement, it's important to factor in the various costs involved. Each additional measure requires more staff time to administer and may displace			
Moreover, interpreting multiple indices or measurement results can be a complex and time-consuming task. These factors should be carefully considered when selecting the number and type of screening to adopt.			
The team needs to be sure that their school has the capacity to meet the demands of the screening process, and to consider alternatives such as trainingto conduct screening rather than taking teachers away from classroom instruction.			
Interpretation of screening results requires grade-level, or growth rates, to determine which children are at low, moderate, or high risk for developing reading difficulties. Grade-level benchmarks indicate when a particular reading skill should be achieved.			
The federal Office of Special Education Programs offers a good starting point for information on benchmarks screening and progress monitoring through its National Center on Response to Intervention			
Once benchmarks have been established, the district will need to establish cut-points, or scores, to identify those students who are likely to reach proficiency without additional assistance. It is critical to keep in mind that no measure is perfectly reliable. When			

students' scores fall slightly below or a	bove a cutoff score on a
benchmark test, schools may wish to co	onduct an additional assessment of
those students ort	their progress for a period of
to eight weeks to determine	
fact, require additional assistance.	io vinceno ene sedecine dece, in
Schools may need to refine initial bench right level of for it lenient cut point can result in false pos- costly to the school, while a more string at risk for potential reading problems.	dentifying at-risk students. A sitives that end up being more
In general, it's best to set screening cuchildren, and then follow up with regularther determine those most at risk.	
Remember: screening is just the start continue to rece	
intensity matched to their developing i	needs.
To learn more about Universal Screening the additional resources on the Doing V	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

DOINGWHATWORKS